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Coyle, Edward F. Improved muscular efficiency displayed as Tour de France champion matures. J Appl Physiol 98: 2191–2196, 2005.—This case describes the physiological maturation from ages 21 to 28 yr of the bicyclist who has now become the six-time consecutive Grand Champion of the Tour de France, at ages 27–32 yr. Maximal oxygen uptake (V̇O₂max) in the trained state remained at ~6 L/min, lean body weight remained at ~70 kg, and maximal heart rate declined from 207 to 200 beats/min. Blood lactate threshold was typical of competitive cyclists in that it occurred at 76–85% V̇O₂max, yet maximal blood lactate concentration was remarkably low in the trained state. It appears that an 8% improvement in muscular efficiency and thus power production when cycling at a given oxygen uptake (V̇O₂) is the characteristic that improved most at this athlete matured from ages 21 to 28 yr. It is noteworthy that at age 25 yr, this champion developed advanced cancer, requiring surgeries and chemotherapy. During the months leading up to each of his Tour de France victories, he reduced body weight and body fat by 4–7 kg (i.e., ~7%). Therefore, over the 7-yr period, an improvement in muscular efficiency and reduced body fat contributed equally to a remarkable 18% improvement in his steady-state power per kilogram body weight when cycling at a given V̇O₂ (e.g., 5 L/min). It is hypothesized that the improved muscular efficiency probably reflects changes in muscle myosin type stimulated from years of training intensely for 3–6 h on most days.

MUCH HAS BEEN LEARNED about the physiological factors that contribute to endurance performance ability by simply describing the characteristics of elite endurance athletes in sports such as distance running, bicycle racing, and cross-country skiing. The numerous physiological determinants of endurance have been organized into a model that integrates such factors as distance, power, composition, and efficiency. This case study reports the physiological changes that occur with years of continued training as the athlete matures and reaches his/her physiological potential. There are remarkably few longitudinal reports documenting the changes in physiological factors that accompany years of continued endurance training at the level performed by elite endurance athletes.

This case study reports the physiological changes that occur in an individual bicycle racer during a 7-yr period spanning ages 21 to 28 yr. Description of this person is noteworthy for two reasons. First, he rose to become a six-time and present Grand Champion of the Tour de France, and thus adaptations relevant to this feat were identified. Remarkably, he accomplished this after developing and receiving treatment for advanced cancer. Therefore, this report is also important because it provides insight, although limited, regarding the recovery of "performance physiology" after successful treatment for advanced cancer. The approach of this study will be to report results from standardized laboratory testing on this individual at five time points corresponding to ages 21.1, 21.5, 22.0, 25.9, and 28.2 yr.

METHODS

General testing sequence. On reporting to the laboratory, training, racing, and medical histories were obtained, body weight was measured (~0.1 kg), and the following tests were performed after informed consent was obtained, with procedures approved by the Internal Review Board of The University of Texas at Austin. Mechanical efficiency and the blood lactate threshold (LT) were determined as the subject bicycled a stationary ergometer for 25 min, with work rate increasing progressively every 5 min over a range of 50, 60, 70, 80, and 90% V̇O₂max. After a 10- to 20-min period of active recovery, V̇O₂max when cycling was measured. Thereafter, body composition was determined by hydrostatic weighing and/or analysis of skin-fold thickness (34, 35).

Measurement of V̇O₂max. The same Monark ergometer (model 819) equipped with a racing seat and drop handlebars and pedals for cycling shoes was used for all cycle testing, and seat height and saddle position were held constant. The pedal's crank length was 170 mm. V̇O₂max was measured during continuous cycling lasting between 8 and 12 min, with work rate increasing every 2 min. A leveling off of oxygen uptake (V̇O₂) always occurred, and this individual cycled until exhaustion at a final power output that was 10–20% higher than the minimal power output needed to elicit V̇O₂max. A venous blood sample was obtained 3–4 min after exhaustion for determination of blood lactate concentration after maximal exercise, as described below. The subject breathed through a Daniels valve; expired gases were continually sampled from a mixing chamber and analyzed for O₂ (Applied Electrochemistry S3A) and CO₂ (Beckman LB-3). Inhaled air volumes were measured using a dry-gas meter (Parkinson-Cowan CD4). These instruments were interfaced with a computer that calculated V̇O₂ every 30 s. The same equipment for indirect calorimetry was used over the 7-yr period, with gas analyzers calibrated against the same known gases and the dry-gas meter calibrated periodically to a 350-liter Tissot spirometer.

Blood LT. The subject pedaled the Monark ergometer (model 819) continuously for 25 min at work rates eliciting ~50, 60, 70, 80, and 90% V̇O₂max for each successive 5-min stage. The calibrated ergometer was set in the constant power mode, and the subject maintained a pedaling cadence of 85 rpm. Blood samples were obtained either from...
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A catheter in an antecubital vein or from piercing a fingertip during the 5th min of exercise at each stage or 4 min after maximal exercise. Whole blood was deproteinized in perchloric acid and later analyzed for lactate using an enzymatic spectrophotometric method (20). The blood LT was determined, as previously described (14), by graphing the lactate vs. \( \text{VO}_2 \) relationship and determining the \( \text{VO}_2 \) at which blood lactate increased 1 mM above baseline. Maximal blood lactate concentration was determined from a blood sample obtained during the 4th min after exhaustion during the \( \text{VO}_{2\max} \) determination.

**Mechanical efficiency.** Gross efficiency was calculated as the ratio of work accomplished per minute (i.e., watts converted to kcal/min) to energy expended per minute (kcal/min). Energy expenditure per minute (i.e., kcal/min) was calculated from \( \text{VO}_2 \) and respiratory exchange ratio using the tables of Lusk (31). On a given date of testing, gross efficiency was generally similar at all work rates evaluated when cycling at 50-90\% \( \text{VO}_{2\max} \) and 80-90 rpm, as previously described in trained cyclists (10, 31). Therefore, gross efficiency was reported as the average of the values obtained at the five work rates (10).

Delta efficiency is defined as the ratio of the change in work accomplished per minute and the change in energy expended per minute (10, 31). Delta efficiency was identified from linear regression (\( y = mx + b \)) of the relationship (i.e., 5 data points at 50, 60, 70, 80, and 90\% \( \text{VO}_{2\max} \)) between energy expended per minute (i.e., \( y \); kcal/min) vs. work accomplished per minute (i.e., \( x \); kcal/min). Delta efficiency was calculated from the slope of the relationship and was equal to the reciprocal of \( m \) (i.e., \( \text{J/m} \)) (31). Furthermore, skinfold thickness at five sites was determined, and the sum of these measures was related to body density. Percent body fat and lean body weight were calculated from body density and body weight (35).

**RESULTS**

**Training and medical history of the subject.** This individual was born on September 18, 1971. He engaged in competitive swimming at ages 12-15 yr and competitive running and triathlon racing at ages 14-18 yr. Thereafter, he competed in and trained primarily for bicycle road racing. Table 1 contains the highlights of his racing career from 1991 to 2004, with focus on his placing in the Tour de France, the World Bicycling Championships, and the Olympic Games. Before turning 22 yr old in 1993, he became the youngest winner of the World Championships in Bicycle Road Racing, a 1-day road race. At age 23 yr, this individual was diagnosed with testicular cancer. Thereafter and during the period of October through December of 1996, he underwent surgeries to remove the involved testicle and then to remove cancerous brain tumors and he received chemotherapy as described by Armstrong (1). He resumed international bicycle racing in 1998 and remarkably placed 4th in the World Championships that year. He went on to become the now six-time Grand Champion of the Tour de France over years 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, and 2004. The Tour de France is arguably the world’s premier bicycle road race. It covers \( \sim 3,800 \) km, competed in 21-22 stages (day of racing) over a period of 3 wk during the month of July.

**Anthropometry.** Total body weight during laboratory testing ranged from \( \sim 76 \) to 80 kg from 1992 through 1997 as well as during the preseason in 1999. However, when competing in the Tour de France in 1999-2004, body weight was reported by the subject to be \( \sim 72-74 \) kg. Lean body weight was \( \sim 70 \) kg during the period of 1992-1997 (Table 2). His height was \( \sim 1.78 \) m.

\( \text{VO}_{2\max} \), maximal heart rate, and the blood LT. \( \text{VO}_{2\max} \) during the preseason months of November through January generally ranged from 5.56 to 5.82 l/min during the period of 1992-1999. \( \text{VO}_{2\max} \) during the competitive season of 1993, soon after winning the World Road Racing Championships (September, 1993), was 6.1 l/min and 81.2 ml·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\), results that were corroborated by the United States Olympic Committee (Colorado Springs, CO). Eight months after chemotherapy for cancer and during a period of inconsistent and reduced training (i.e., August 1997), \( \text{VO}_{2\max} \) was 5.29 l/min and 66.6 ml·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\). Furthermore, at this time of reduced training, maximal blood lactate concentration measured 4 min after exhaustion was 9.2 mM compared with previously recorded values in the range of 6.3-7.5 mM. Maximal heart rate declined from 207 to 200 beats/min from 1992 through 1999. The \( \text{VO}_2 \) corresponding to the blood lactate threshold was 4.5-4.7 l/min when measured in 1992-1993 and, as expected, it was reduced to 4.02 l/min during the period of reduced training in August 1997.

**Mechanical efficiency.** Gross efficiency and delta efficiency during the period from 1992 to 1999 are displayed in Fig. 1. These progressive increases in efficiency amount to an 8-9% improvement over the period. This improvement is also displayed in the measure of mechanical power generated when cycling at a given \( \text{VO}_2 \) of 5.0 l/min, in that it increased from 374 to 403 W (i.e., 8%; Table 2). Given that success in the Tour de France is typically determined when cycling uphill on mountains, it is best to normalize power to body weight (i.e., W/kg). Given this individual’s reduction in body weight from 78.9 kg (in 1992) to \( \sim 72 \) kg during his victories in the Tour de France and given his increased muscular efficiency, his power-to-body weight ratio (i.e., power/kg) when cycling at 5.0 l/min is calculated to have increased by a remarkable 18% from 1992 to 1999 (i.e., 4.74 vs. 5.60 W/kg when \( \text{VO}_2 \) is 5.0 l/min). In that his \( \text{VO}_{2\max} \) remained at \( \sim 6 \) l/min, this given \( \text{VO}_2 \) of 5.0 l/min represents \( \sim 83 \% \) \( \text{VO}_{2\max} \). Therefore, his "power per kilo-

---

Table 1. Highlights of the bicycling racing history and medical history of the subject

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Age, yr</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>U.S.A. National Amateur Champion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14th place in Olympic Road Race; Barcelona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1st place in World Championships, Road Racing; Oslo, Winner, one stage in Tour de France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Winner of one stage in Tour de France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>24-25</td>
<td>12th place in Olympic Road Race; Barcelona. 6th place in Olympic Individual Time trial; Barcelona. Diagnosed with testicular cancer; chemotherapy; brain surgery in October 1996. Last chemotherapy treatment December 1996.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4th place in World Championships, Road Racing; 4th place in World Championships, Time trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1st place—Tour de France Grand Champion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1st place—Tour de France Grand Champion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1st place—Tour de France Grand Champion; 13th place in Olympic Road Race; Sydney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1st place—Tour de France Grand Champion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1st place—Tour de France Grand Champion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1st place—Tour de France Grand Champion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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a catheter in an antecubital vein or from piercing a fingertip during the 5th min of exercise at each stage or 4 min after maximal exercise. Whole blood was deproteinized in perchloric acid and later analyzed for lactate using an enzymatic spectrophotometric method (20). The blood LT was determined, as previously described (14), by graphing the lactate vs. \( V_o_2 \) relationship and determining the \( V_o_2 \) at which blood lactate increased 1 mM above baseline. Maximal blood lactate concentration was determined from a blood sample obtained during the 4th min after exhaustion during the \( V_o_2 \) max determination.

**Mechanical efficiency.** Gross efficiency was calculated as the ratio of work accomplished per minute (i.e., watts converted to kcal/min) to energy expended per minute (i.e., kcal/min). Energy expenditure per minute was calculated from \( V_o_2 \) and respiratory exchange ratio using the tables of Lusk (31). On a given date of testing, gross efficiency was generally similar at all work rates evaluated when cycling at 50–90% \( V_o_2 \) max and 80–90 rpm, as previously described in trained cyclists (15, 31). Therefore, gross efficiency was reported as the average of the values obtained at the five work rates(10).

Delta efficiency is defined as the ratio of the change in work accomplished per minute and the change in energy expended per minute (10, 31). Delta efficiency was identified from linear regression

\[
y = mx + b
\]

of the relationship (i.e., 5 data points at -50, 60, 70, 80, and 90% \( V_o_2 \) max) between energy expended per minute (i.e., \( y \) kcal/min) vs. work accomplished per minute (i.e., \( x \) kcal/min). Delta efficiency was calculated from the slope of the relationship and was equal to the reciprocal of \( m \) (i.e., \( 1/m \) ) (31).

Body composition. Body density was determined from hydrostatic weighing, with direct measurement of residual lung volume using the nitrogen dilution technique (34, 35). Furthermore, skinfold thickness at five sites was determined, and the sum of these measures was related to body density. Percent body fat and lean body weight were calculated from body density and body weight (35).

**RESULTS**

**Training and medical history of the subject.** This individual was born on September 18, 1971. He engaged in competitive swimming at ages 12–15 yr and competitive running and triathlon racing at ages 14–18 y. Thereafter, he competed in and trained primarily for bicycle road racing. Table 1 contains the highlights of his racing career from 1991 to 2004, with focus on his placing in the Tour de France, the World Bicycling Championships, and the Olympic Games. Before turning 22 yr old in 1993, he became the youngest winner of the World Championships in Bicycle Road Racing, a 1-day road race. At age 25 yr, this individual was diagnosed with testicular cancer. Thereafter and during the period of October through December of 1996, he underwent surgeries to remove the involved testicle and then to remove cancerous brain tumors and he received chemotherapy as described by Armstrong (1). He resumed international bicycle racing in 1998 and remarkably placed 4th in the World Championships that year. He went on to become the now six-time Grand Champion of the Tour de France over years 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, and 2004. The Tour de France is arguably the world’s premier bicycle road race. It covers ~3,800 km, competed in 21–22 stages (day of racing) over a period of 3 wk during the month of July.

**Anthropometry.** Total body weight during laboratory testing ranged from ~76 to 80 kg from 1992 through 1997 as well as during the preseason in 1999. However, when competing in the Tour de France in 1999–2004, body weight was reported by the subject to be ~72–74 kg. Lean body weight was ~70 kg during the period of 1992–1997 (Table 2). His height was ~178 cm.
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**Mechanical efficiency.** Gross efficiency and delta efficiency during the period from 1992 to 1999 are displayed in Fig. 1. These progressive increases in efficiency amount to an 8–9% improvement over the period. This improvement is also displayed in the measure of mechanical power generated when cycling at a given \( V_o_2 \) of 5.0 l/min, in that it increased from 374 to 403 W (i.e., 8%; Table 2). Given that success in the Tour de France is typically determined when cycling uphill on mountains, it is best to normalize power to body weight (i.e., W/kg). Given this individual’s reduction in body weight from 78.9 kg (in 1992) to ~72 kg during his victories in the Tour de France and given his increased muscular efficiency, his power-to-body weight ratio (i.e., W/kg) when cycling at 5.0 l/min is calculated to have increased by a remarkable 18% from 1992 to 1999 (i.e., 4.74 vs. 5.60 W/kg when \( V_o_2 \) is 5.0 l/min). In that his \( V_o_2 \) max remained at ~6 l/min, this given \( V_o_2 \) of 5.0 l/min represents ~83% \( V_o_2 \) max. Therefore, his "power per kilo-
Table 2. Physiological characteristics of this individual from the ages of 21 to 28 yr

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date/Month-Year</th>
<th>Anthropometry</th>
<th>Maximal aerobic ability</th>
<th>Lactate threshold O_2 uptake, l/min</th>
<th>Lactate threshold, % maximal O_2 uptake</th>
<th>Mechanical efficiency</th>
<th>Gross efficiency, %</th>
<th>Delta efficiency, %</th>
<th>Power at O_2 uptake of 5.0 l/min, W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nov 1992 Preseason</td>
<td>Body weight, kg</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>Maximal O_2 uptake, l/min</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>21.18</td>
<td>21.61</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>22.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 1993 Preseason</td>
<td>Lean body weight, kg</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>21.37</td>
<td>21.75</td>
<td>22.69</td>
<td>22.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept 1997 Racing</td>
<td>Body fat, %</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>21.18</td>
<td>21.61</td>
<td>22.69</td>
<td>22.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 1997 Reduced</td>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>21.18</td>
<td>21.61</td>
<td>22.69</td>
<td>22.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 1999 Preseason</td>
<td>Body weight, kg</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>21.18</td>
<td>21.61</td>
<td>22.69</td>
<td>22.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the trained state, this individual possessed a remarkably high \( V_{O_2 \text{max}} \) of \(-6\) l/min, and his blood LT occurred at a \( V_{O_2} \) of \(-4.6\) l/min (i.e., \( 76-85\% \ V_{O_2 \text{max}} \)). These physiological factors remained relatively stable from age 21 to 28 yr. These absolute values are higher than what we have measured in bicyclists competing at the US national level (9), several of whom subsequently raced professionally in Europe during the period of 1989–1995. The five-time Grand Champion of the Tour de France during the years 1991–1995 has been reported to possess a \( V_{O_2 \text{max}} \) of 6.4 l/min and \( 79 \text{ ml-kg}^{-1}\text{-min}^{-1} \) with a body weight of 71 kg (28). Laboratory measures of the subject in our study were not made soon after the Tour de France; however, with the conservative assumption that \( V_{O_2 \text{max}} \) was at least 6.1 l/min and given his reported body weight of 72 kg, we estimate his \( V_{O_2 \text{max}} \) to have been at least \( 85 \text{ ml-kg}^{-1}\text{-min}^{-1} \) during the period of his victories in the Tour de France. Therefore, his \( V_{O_2 \text{max}} \) per kilogram of body weight during his victories of 1999–2004 appears to be somewhat higher than what was reported for the champion during 1991–1995 and to be among the highest values reported in world class runners and bicyclists (e.g., \( 80-85 \text{ ml-kg}^{-1}\text{-min}^{-1} \)) (6, 15, 16, 28, 29).

It is generally appreciated that in addition to a high \( V_{O_2 \text{max}} \), success in endurance sports also requires an ability to exercise for prolonged periods at a high percentage of \( V_{O_2 \text{max}} \) as well as the ability to efficiently convert that energy (i.e., ATP) into muscular power and velocity (5, 7, 8, 29). Identification of the blood LT (e.g., 1 mM increase in blood lactate above baseline) in absolute terms or as a percentage of \( V_{O_2 \text{max}} \) is, by itself, a reasonably good predictor of aerobic performance (i.e., time that a given rate of ATP turnover can be maintained) (7, 8, 14, 21), and prediction is strengthened even more when measurement of muscle capillary density is combined with LT (11). Capillary density is thought to be an index of the working muscle's ability to clear fatiguing metabolites (e.g., acid) from muscle fibers into the circulation, whereas the LT is thought...
to reflect production of fatiguing metabolites in muscle fibers (7, 8).

As expected, this individual possessed a high LT in the range of 76–85% \( V_{O_2} \max \). However, the most unique aspect of this individual's blood lactate profile was the extremely low lactate concentration measured 4 min after exhaustion during measurement of \( V_{O_2} \max \). Maximal blood lactate in the trained state was only 6.5–7.5 mM in the present subject. By comparison, all the competitive cyclists we have tested, including teammates training with this subject, possessed maximal blood lactate postexercise in the range of 9–14 mM (9, 11). The mechanism for this extremely low maximal blood lactate concentration in this individual is not clear, although it probably reflects reduced lactate production when exercising to exhaustion at intensities above \( V_{O_2} \max \). One possibility is that activity of the muscle enzymes largely responsible for lactate production (i.e., lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and phosphofructokinase) are greatly attenuated in this individual when he is trained (12, 24). It should be noted that this individual indeed became exhausted during \( V_{O_2} \max \) testing, displaying the typical pattern for competitive cyclist, including a "plateau" of \( V_{O_2} \) and heart rate at maximal values for 1–3 min, moderate hyperventilation, respiratory exchange ratio >1.05, and a progressive loss of pedal cadence at constant power during the 30–60 s before exhaustion.

Interestingly, when \( V_{O_2} \max \) and maximal blood lactate concentration were measured during the period of reduced training 8 mo after chemotherapy (age 25.9 yr), maximal blood lactate concentration was increased to 9.2 mM. This agrees with our previous observation that detraining in well-trained endurance athletes increases maximal blood lactate from 10 to 12.5 mM in association with a 21% increase in total LDH activity (i.e., 21%) (12, 13, 24). It should be noted that blood lactate concentration was measured, in this study and in our previous studies, exclusively with spectrophotometric analysis of NADH produced from the LDH reaction after completely lysing red blood cells (20). It has been our experience that maximal lactate concentrations measured using commercially available automated analyzers are lower, possibly due to incomplete lysing of red blood cells despite addition of detergent into the reagents (3).

Physiological evaluation was performed 8 mo after chemotherapy during a period of reduced training. Regarding his prior training, during the 3rd and 4th mo after chemotherapy, he cycled ~5 day/wk for 2–5 h/day at moderate intensity. During the 5th and 6th mo, training intensity was increased. During the 6– to 7.5-mo period after chemotherapy, he cycled 1–2 h/day at moderate intensity, eliciting heart rates of 120–150 beats/min. During this laboratory evaluation 8 mo after completing chemotherapy, this individual displayed no ill effects from his previous surgeries and chemotherapy. In particular, ventilatory volume during maximal exercise appeared typical, and his cardiovascular responses were normal at heart rates of 120–150 beats/min. Furthermore, maximal heart rate achieved the healthy level for this individual (i.e., 200 beats/min). However, as expected from his reduced training, \( V_{O_2} \max \) was lowered by 6–12% to 5.3 l/min and 67 ml·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\). If this individual performed no training for 3 mo, we predicted his \( V_{O_2} \max \) would stabilize at 5 l/min (e.g., 61–63 ml·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\) for a body weight of 80 kg) based on our previous measurements in well-trained endurance athletes during detraining (13; see Fig. 1). A \( V_{O_2} \max \) in the range of 56–62 ml·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\) is generally believed to be the highest value that the average man who is not genetically endowed for endurance can achieve with prolonged and very intense endurance training (13, 23). As such, it appears that in the detrained state, this individual's \( V_{O_2} \max \) is in the range of the highest values than normal men can achieve with training.

The physiological mechanisms responsible for the 8% improvements in both gross and delta efficiency when cycling, as well as the stimuli that provoked this adaptation, are unclear. The observation that both gross and delta efficiency improved to the same extent and also with the same time course (Fig. 1) suggests an improved efficiency of ATP turnover within muscle fibers during contraction (10, 31). This is because the measure of delta efficiency, defined as the increase in power output relative to the rate of increase in energy expenditure (calculated from \( V_{O_2} \)) throughout a wide range of work rates provides the best reflection of power production from actin-myosin cross-bridge turnover in the active muscles (26) as it eliminates or minimizes the influence of the energy cost of unloaded cycling, ventilatory work, and other metabolic processes not directly linked to muscle power production (31).

We previously reported from cross-sectional observation of competitive cyclists that the percentage of type I muscle fibers of the vastus lateralis is directly and positively related to both delta and gross mechanical efficiency measured either during cycling or with the simple task of knee extension (10, 25). Therefore, one possible mechanism for increased efficiency is that this individual increased his percentage of type I muscle fibers during this 7-yr period of study.

Using our previously reported prediction of the percentage type I muscle fibers from our direct measurements of gross and mechanical efficiency in this individual, we predict that he might have increased his percentage of type I muscle fibers from 60 to 80%. Interestingly, this magnitude of increase in percentage of type I fibers with 7 yr of continued endurance training in this individual is remarkably similar to our prediction made in 1991 based on cross-sectional observations of competitive cyclists (9; see Fig. 8). To our knowledge, there have been no longitudinal studies performed over years on humans directly testing the hypothesis that type II fibers can be converted to type I muscle fibers with continued intense endurance training. However, during periods of extreme endurance training of rats, skeletal muscle appears to display conversion of type II to type I fibers (18). Other factors that have been reported to increase cycling efficiency and running economy are intermittent exposure to hypoxia for several weeks as encountered by athletes who spend periods living at high altitude or in hypoxic environments (19, 30). Like many endurance athletes, this individual has incorporated hypoxic exposure into his annual plan, which may be another factor contributing to improved cycling efficiency.

It has been recognized for decades that endurance training of rats increases the myosin ATPase activity of type I fibers while decreasing it in type II fibers (2). More recent studies on humans by Fitts, Costill, and colleagues (17, 32, 33) directly
measured maximal velocity of shortening of isolated single muscle fibers (i.e., using the slack test) obtained from biopsy samples. Ten weeks of intense swimming (e.g., 4-5 km/day) increased the maximal velocity of type I fibers, whereas in type II fibers it was decreased (17). Furthermore, Widrick et al. (32, 33) found that men who performed high levels of physical activity for 20-25 yr and who were elite master runners also displayed increased maximal velocity of type I fibers that was associated with altered myosin type (i.e., 28% greater myosin light chain 3 vs. 2). Therefore, intense endurance training performed for prolonged periods results in alterations in myosin ATPase activity whereby type II become more like type I fibers and type I fibers increase ATPase activity and alter myosin type and increase maximal velocity of shortening. These observations support the possibility that in the subject of the present study, 7 yr of extremely intense endurance training and improved muscular efficiency when cycling was related to altered myosin type that allowed more of the energy released from ATP hydrolysis during contraction to be converted to power production.

Muscle samples were not surgically obtained from this athlete to directly test the hypothesis that muscle fiber-type conversion contributed to the large increases in mechanical or muscular efficiency when cycling. Therefore, this hypothesis that the percentage of type I muscle fibers increased in this individual requires identification of other performance characteristics that clearly changed in this individual over that 7-yr period with discussion as to whether they are consistent with the hypothesis of increased percentage of type I muscle fibers. Although during all laboratory measures of mechanical efficiency, cycling cadence was held constant at 85 rpm, this individual's freely chosen cycling cadence during time trial racing of 30- to 60-min duration increased progressively during this 7-yr period from ~85-95 rpm to ~105-110 rpm. This increase in freely chosen revolutions per minute when cycling at high intensity is indeed consistent with increases in type I muscle fibers because cyclists with a higher percentage of type I fibers choose a higher pedaling cadence when exercising at high power outputs (22). Although this may initially seem paradoxical, higher cycling cadence serves to both bring muscle fiber contraction velocity closer to that of maximum power and reduce the muscle and pedaling force required for each cycling stroke. Keep in mind that when exercising at a given rate of oxidative metabolism, an 8% increase in mechanical efficiency will result in 8% more muscle power and force development on the pedals when cycling cadence is held constant. As cycling efficiency increases due to increased percentage of type I muscle fibers, it is possible that increased power is manifested by increasing cycling cadence (i.e., velocity) rather than increasing the muscle forces directed to the pedals. This approach appears to produce less sensation of effort relative to muscular strength (27). Therefore, it is likely that the increases in freely chosen cycling cadence displayed over the years by this Tour de France champion reflect his increased mechanical efficiency, agreeing with the pattern expected to result from muscle fiber conversion from type II to type I.

This report has identified the physiological factor that improved the most from ages 21 to 28 yr in the bicyclist who has now become the six-time consecutive Grand Champion of the Tour de France as muscular efficiency. As a result, power production when cycling at an absolute VO2 of 5.0 l/min increased by 8%. Another factor that allowed this individual to become Grand Champion of the Tour de France was his large reductions in body weight and body fat during the months before the race. Therefore, over the 7-yr period, he displayed a remarkable 18% improvement in steady-state power per kilogram body weight when cycling at a given VO2 (e.g., 5 l/min). We hypothesize that the improved muscular efficiency might reflect alterations in muscle myosin type stimulated from years of training intensely for 3-6 h on most days. It is remarkable that at age 25 yr this individual developed advanced cancer, requiring surgeries and chemotherapy, yet these events did not appear to impede his physiological maturation and athletic achievements. Clearly, this champion embodies a phenomenon of both genetic natural selection and the extreme to which the human can adapt to endurance training performed for a decade or more in a person who is truly inspired.
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